SARDINE & ANCHOVY IN ADRIATIC SEA

(BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION ON SMALL PELAGIC STOCKS RELEVANT FOR THE FORTHCOMING MANAGEMENT PLAN)

PhD Vanja Čikeš Keč Institute of oceanography and fisheries Split, Croatia

TASK:

- results of small pelagics stock assessment and benchmark;
- scientific perspective on the following aspects related to the management scenarios:

- TAC or fishing effort (including the minimum requirements of the official scientific advices needed in terms of robustness, reliability and timeliness in both options)

- separated or mixed quotas (both in terms of fishing effort or TAC)

- > Sardina pilchardus sardine
- > Engraulis encrasicolus anchovy
- commercially most important species in Adriatic sea (GSA 17 and 18)
- fished by Albania, Croatia, Italy, Montenegro, Slovenia
- > purse seiners and pair trawlers







Fishery dependant information:

- from commercial catches (on board, on landing place)- <u>biological data</u>- length, weight, sex, maturity stage, age
- total <u>landing data</u> catch and discard

number@age, weight@age, maturity@age, mortality@age

Fishery independent information (tunning index):

from acoustic survey- length, weight, sex _____ number@age, biomass index

> **Old** input data (1975-2018):

- landing data
- biological data

Country	Landing data	Biological data
ALB	From 1983-	2012,2013,2017, 2018
CRO	From 2000-	From 2000-
ITA	From 1975- (no 1982,1983)	1977,1978,1986,1989,1995,1996, from 2000- (ane) 1984,1988,1998, from 2000- (sar)
MNE	From 2011-	From 2007- (no 2012)
SLO	From 2006-	From 2006- (no 2009)





Ita- official data from 1975





Alb, Mne and Slo dataas percentage in Cro landings from 2000-2005

Cro- offical data from 2000. before that Yugoslavian data and "war" data

> **New** input data (2000-2020):

- landing data validated from each country
- biological data from each country, based on real sampling
- anchovy new age length key (ALK) in 2019 to translate number@lenght to number@age



total landing sardine





Anchovy age structure



Expected differences in model outputs

shorter timeseries \longrightarrow different perspective, different reference values shorter lifespan for anchovy \longrightarrow different impact of F and M



anchovy



Relatively stable relationship between older and younger individuals

Could possible lead to stable biomass

sardine



- Disappearance of older individuals in catch in last years
- Reduction in number of younger individuals in last year

Could possible lead to drop in biomass

Output from last assessment (ref.year 2018)



	anchovy	sardine
Bcur	113353	157251
Blim	45936	125318
Вра	91872	250636
Fcur	1.075	1.529
Ecur	0.75	0.68



(new assessment is in progress, publishing at the end of year)

Short term predictions for 2021 by changing fishing effort

Anchovy

- 1. no fishing: SSB change +31.1%, catch change -100%
- 2. Status quo: SSB change -5.3%, catch change -0.4%
- 3. E=0.4: SSB change +6.2%, catch change -38.2%

Sardine

- 1. no fishing: SSB change +31.7%, catch change -100%
- 2. Status quo: SSB change -0.7%, catch change +11%
- 3. E=0.4: SSB change +16.3%, catch change -48.7%

Fmsy

- biological reference point for fisheries management
- > fishing pressure that gives the maximum sustainable yield in the long term
- overfishing means that fishing pressure (F) is higher than Fmsy (overfished/depleted means that biomass level (B) is below Bmsy)
- Aiming to reach Fmsy, by reducing fishing efford or with TAC (fishing quotas)

Fishing effort

- not included as input parameter into modeling
- influence mortality of stock (along with enviroment)
- In predictions- relationship between changes in fishing effort and biomass growth

TAC (total allowable catch)

- set annually for most stocks
- based on projections to reach Fmsy
- > shared between EU countries in the form of national quotas
- each stock different allocation of percentage per country

Indirectly introduced into Adriatic Sea by GFCM managment plan- freezing catches of both species at 2014 level







> Alternations in catches, last cycle (from 2011) sardine is dominant _____ could change in next few years

From biological perspective

One quota for both species together- mixed quota:

pro	contra
Biological alternations in biomass alowed	Models are running for each species-advice separated
Catches are mixed, reducing discarding	Lack of control over overexploitation

Separated quotas for each species:

pro	contra
Models are running for each species - advice seprated	Over-quota discarding (mixed catches)
Better control over exploitation	Changing catchabilities with fishing

TASK:

results of small pelagics stock assessment and benchmark



- scientific perspective on the following aspects related to the management scenarios:
- TAC or fishing effort (including the minimum requirements of the official scientific advices needed in terms of robustness,

reliability and timeliness in both options)





Thank you for your attention!!